

Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Summary

Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

Section A: Overview & Summary Information

Date Investment First Submitted: 2009-06-30
Date of Last Change to Activities:
Investment Auto Submission Date: 2012-02-29
Date of Last Investment Detail Update: 2012-04-25
Date of Last Exhibit 300A Update: 2012-04-25
Date of Last Revision: 2012-08-28

Agency: 020 - Environmental Protection Agency **Bureau:** 00 - Agency-Wide Activity

Investment Part Code: 01

Investment Category: 00 - Agency Investments

1. Name of this Investment: LFS - Legacy Financial Systems

2. Unique Investment Identifier (Ull): 020-000010060

Section B: Investment Detail

- 1. Provide a brief summary of the investment, including a brief description of the related benefit to the mission delivery and management support areas, and the primary beneficiary(ies) of the investment. Include an explanation of any dependencies between this investment and other investments.**

EPA's mission is "to protect human health and the environment." To accomplish this mission, EPA relies on core financial management functions such as budget execution, accounting, payments and collections, financial statements, and financial accountability reports. At present, these financial management functions are performed by the following legacy systems included in this business case: Integrated Financial Management System (IFMS), the Agency's core financial system; Contract Payment System (CPS); Superfund Cost Recovery Package and Image On-Line System (SCORPIOS); and Small Systems (IDOTS and GPAS), support systems for inter-agency and grant transactions. The Financial Replacement Systems (FinRS) investment is the EPA Office of the Chief Financial Officer's (OCFO) ongoing modular approach to replace its Legacy Financial Systems (LFS).

- 2. How does this investment close in part or in whole any identified performance gap in support of the mission delivery and management support areas? Include an assessment of the program impact if this investment isn't fully funded.**

In response to OCFO's operational analysis of LFS, users indicated the following shortcomings in EPA financial systems: 1) inconsistent data, 2) limited system interoperability, 3) information latency, 4) multiple reporting systems, 5) low system usability, 6) proliferation of

cuff systems, and 7) costly maintenance. To modernize its financial systems, EPA instituted its Financial Replacement System (FinRS) initiative that will eliminate repetitive data entry, integrate systems, enable EPA staff to manage workflow among OCFO and between other Program Office related business lines (e.g., acquisitions and grants management), and maximize use of enabling technologies, e-Government initiatives, and EPA enterprise initiatives. Replacing LFS with FinRS will enable effective decision making; ensure budgetary integrity; and establish financial control over obligations and costs, performance, other financial information resulting in accurate and comprehensive financial data. These changes align with OMB's commitment to improve Government efficiency and effectiveness through lowered costs and improved government performance. In 2010 EPA refined its implementation approach to strengthen EPA/OMB Executive oversight, refine scope, reduce risks, defer/avoid costs, and increase efficiency. EPA will use a phase-based approach (e.g., timeline and budget) to implement Core Financials in October 2011 and additional components later. If the investment is not fully funded, the following program impacts may occur: - Reduced training, helpdesk, and end user support resulting in higher risk of incorrect or delayed transaction processing. - Delays in making payments. - Reduced financial reporting capability and subsequent delays in responding to information requests.

3. Provide a list of this investment's accomplishments in the prior year (PY), including projects or useful components/project segments completed, new functionality added, or operational efficiency achieved.

For CPS, the key accomplishment for CPS in FY 2011 is adaptive maintenance to move CPS off the mainframe and onto a Windows/Oracle platform. For Small Systems and SCORPIOS, the accomplishment for 2011 is to build and test the integration with Compass.

4. Provide a list of planned accomplishments for current year (CY) and budget year (BY).

For CPS, SCORPIOS, and Small Systems in the Current Year FY 2012, the planned accomplishment is to integrate with the new core financial system in the production environment. IFMS will be decommissioned as the Agency's Core Financial System. In the Budget Year FY 2013, all components will be in operations and maintenance (O&M). O&M accomplishments include: implement approved technology refreshments and minor enhancements, renew software licenses, provide refresher and new user training, and achieve the standards included in the Service Level Agreements.

5. Provide the date of the Charter establishing the required Integrated Program Team (IPT) for this investment. An IPT must always include, but is not limited to: a qualified fully-dedicated IT program manager, a contract specialist, an information technology specialist, a security specialist and a business process owner before OMB will approve this program investment budget. IT Program Manager, Business Process Owner and Contract Specialist must be Government Employees.

2001-05-18

Section C: Summary of Funding (Budget Authority for Capital Assets)

1.

Table I.C.1 Summary of Funding

	PY-1 & Prior	PY 2011	CY 2012	BY 2013
Planning Costs:	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
DME (Excluding Planning) Costs:	\$3.9	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
DME (Including Planning) Govt. FTEs:	\$0.4	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
Sub-Total DME (Including Govt. FTE):	\$4.3	0	0	0
O & M Costs:	\$156.9	\$4.9	\$2.4	\$2.0
O & M Govt. FTEs:	\$28.8	\$1.9	\$1.8	\$1.4
Sub-Total O & M Costs (Including Govt. FTE):	\$185.7	\$6.8	\$4.2	\$3.4
Total Cost (Including Govt. FTE):	\$190.0	\$6.8	\$4.2	\$3.4
Total Govt. FTE costs:	\$29.2	\$1.9	\$1.8	\$1.4
# of FTE rep by costs:	274	13	13	10
Total change from prior year final President's Budget (\$)		\$-1.9	\$-1.2	
Total change from prior year final President's Budget (%)		-21.60%	-22.20%	

2. If the funding levels have changed from the FY 2012 President's Budget request for PY or CY, briefly explain those changes:

The PY and CY cost decreased due to removing the Budget Automation System (BAS) from this business case. The PY cost decreased by an additional \$1.17M primarily due to lower than expected Working Capital Fund costs.

Section D: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

Table I.D.1 Contracts and Acquisition Strategy

Contract Type	EVM Required	Contracting Agency ID	Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID)	Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID	IDV Agency ID	Solicitation ID	Ultimate Contract Value (\$M)	Type	PBSA ?	Effective Date	Actual or Expected End Date
---------------	--------------	-----------------------	--	--	---------------	-----------------	-------------------------------	------	--------	----------------	-----------------------------

NONE

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

Exhibit 300B: Performance Measurement Report

Section A: General Information

Date of Last Change to Activities:

Section B: Project Execution Data

Table II.B.1 Projects					
Project ID	Project Name	Project Description	Project Start Date	Project Completion Date	Project Lifecycle Cost (\$M)
NONE					

Activity Summary								
Roll-up of Information Provided in Lowest Level Child Activities								
Project ID	Name	Total Cost of Project Activities (\$M)	End Point Schedule Variance (in days)	End Point Schedule Variance (%)	Cost Variance (\$M)	Cost Variance (%)	Total Planned Cost (\$M)	Count of Activities
NONE								

Key Deliverables								
Project Name	Activity Name	Description	Planned Completion Date	Projected Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Duration (in days)	Schedule Variance (in days)	Schedule Variance (%)
NONE								

Section C: Operational Data

Table II.C.1 Performance Metrics

Metric Description	Unit of Measure	FEA Performance Measurement Category Mapping	Measurement Condition	Baseline	Target for PY	Actual for PY	Target for CY	Reporting Frequency
Maintain average elapsed time to respond to SCORPIOS hotline trouble tickets.	Business days	Customer Results - Timeliness and Responsiveness	Under target	2.000000	2.000000	1.000000	2.000000	Monthly
Maintain percent of accuracy with generating reports from cost recovery packages.	Percentage	Process and Activities - Financial	Over target	100.000000	100.000000	100.000000	100.000000	Monthly
Maintain average elapsed time between opening and closing a SCORPIOS hotline ticket.	Business days	Process and Activities - Productivity	Under target	5.000000	5.000000	4.000000	5.000000	Monthly
Maintain percent availability of SCORPIOS during core working hours.	Percentage	Technology - Reliability and Availability	Over target	97.000000	97.000000	91.000000	97.000000	Monthly
Customer satisfaction with SCORPIOS	1=dissatisfied, 5=very satisfied	Customer Results - Customer Benefit	Over target	3.000000	0.000000	0.000000	3.000000	Semi-Annual
Maintain percent of Non-credit card invoices paid on time	Percentage	Customer Results - Timeliness and Responsiveness	Over target	99.000000	99.000000	99.700000	0.000000	Monthly
Maintain percent of vendor payments that are made electronically	Percentage	Mission and Business Results - Management of Government Resources	Over target	97.000000	97.000000	96.000000	0.000000	Monthly
Maintain average time elapsed between opening and closing a trouble ticket	Hours	Process and Activities - Productivity	Under target	3.000000	3.800000	3.100000	0.000000	Monthly
Maintain percent availability of core	Percentage	Technology - Reliability and	Over target	99.000000	99.000000	99.900000	0.000000	Monthly

Table II.C.1 Performance Metrics								
Metric Description	Unit of Measure	FEA Performance Measurement Category Mapping	Measurement Condition	Baseline	Target for PY	Actual for PY	Target for CY	Reporting Frequency
financial system during core hours		Availability						
Average response time for user access requests	Hours	Technology - Reliability and Availability	Under target	6.400000	6.400000	6.700000	0.000000	Monthly